# Section I: AQMD BACT Determinations

Application No.: 245157

# **Equipment Category** – Landfill Gas Gathering System

1.	GENERAL INFORMATION		DATE: 06/08/2001	
A.	MANUFACTURER:			
В.	TYPE: Landfill Gas Flaring System (4 new flares being added to existing 3-flare system)	C. MODEL:		
D.	STYLE:			
E.	APPLICABLE AQMD REGULATION XI RULES: 1150.1			
F.	COST: \$ (2000) SOURCE OF COS	ST DATA:		
G.	OPERATING SCHEDULE: 24 HRS/DAY	7 DAY	YSMK 52 WKS/YR	
2.	EQUIPMENT INFORMATION		APP. NO.: 245157	
Α.	FUNCTION: Burns landfill gas to destroy organ	nic gases.		
В.	MAXIMUM HEAT INPUT: 248 MMBtu/hr	C. MAXIMUM	THROUGHPUT: 8750 scfm	
D.	BURNER INFORMATION: NO.: one per flare		TYPE: hexagonal, spud-	
E.	PRIMARY FUEL: landfill gas	F. OTHER FUI	JEL:	
grae	OPERATING CONDITIONS: Landfill gas flow and Bridge dually decline over time. One or more flares	tu value vary may be shut	with weather conditions and down when gas flow is low.	
3.	COMPANY INFORMATION		APP. NO.: 245157	
A.	NAME: City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanit	ation	B. SIC CODE: 4953	
C.	ADDRESS: 11950 Lopez Canyon Road CITY: Los Angeles	STATE: CA	A ZIP: 91342	
D.	CONTACT PERSON: John Hamilton	E	E. PHONE NO.: 818-834-5115	
4.	PERMIT INFORMATION		APP. NO.: 245157	
Α.	AGENCY: SCAQMD	B. APPLICATION	ON TYPE: modification	
C.	AGENCY CONTACT PERSON: Linda Dejbakhsh		D. PHONE NO.: 909-396-2614	
E.	PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT/OPERATE INFORMATION: P/C N	0.:	ISSUANCE DATE: 8/28/1991	
	CHECK IF NO P/C P/O N	o.: F38381	ISSUANCE DATE: 3/29/ 2001	
F.	START-UP DATE: 12/91 (estimate at time of application)			

### 5. EMISSION INFORMATION

APP. NO.: 245157

D7. SOURCE TEST/PERFORMANCE DATA RESULTS AND ANALYSIS:

DATE OF SOURCE TEST: 8/99 (3 flares tested), 8/00 (one flare tested) CAPTURE EFFICIENCY: DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY: 98.3 (8/99, avg.), 98.5 (8/00)

OVERALL EFFICEINCY: OVERALL EFFICEINCY:

OPERATING CONDITIONS: For these tests, the flares were operating at approx. 40-60% of their max. permitted flow rate. The following pollutant concentrations were found: NOx .045 lb/MMBtu or less, CO .008 lb/MMBtu or less, PM 4.79 lb/MMSCF landfill gas or less. TEST METHODS:

### 6. COMMENTS

B . . . .

APP. NO.: 245157

In this example, the landfill gas flares are being operated with pollutant concentrations below the following limits: NOx .06 lb/MMBtu, CO .01 lb/MMBtu, PM 6.1 lb/MMSCF landfill gas. This NOx limit is already contained in Part D of the BACT Guidelines (guidelines for non-major facilities). The CO and PM limits are thus the significant information in this listing. The CO limit of .01 lb/MMBtu appears to be a relatively low limit and may not be achievable in all cases.

# SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

# Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guidelines for Non-Major Polluting Facilities\*

10-20-2000 Rev. 0

Equipment or Process:

Flare

Inorganic Knockout Vessel Knockout Vessel PM10 (1988)(1988)Ground Level, Shrouded, Ground Level, Shrouded, Time at ≥ 1400 °F, and Time at ≥ 1500 °F, and Auto Combustion Air Auto Combustion Air ≥ 0.6 Sec. Retention ≥ 0.6 Sec. Retention 00 Control Control (1988)(1988)Criteria Pollutants SOX Ground Level, Shrouded, 0.06 lbs/MM Btu Landfill Gas from | Ground Level, Shrouded, | 0.06 lbs/MM Btu NOX (1988)(1988)Valve and Automatic Re-Valve and Automatic Re-Combustion Air Control, Combustion Air Control, Time at ≥ 1400 °F, Auto Time at ≥ 1500 °F, Auto Automatic Shutoff Gas Automatic Shutoff Gas ≥ 0.6 Sec. Retention Hazardous Waste |≥ 0.6 Sec. Retention VOC Start System Start System (1988)(1988)Landfill Gas from Digestor Gas or Non-Hazardous Waste Landfill Rating/Size Landfill

\* Means those facilities that are not major polluting facilities as defined by Rule 1302 - Definitions

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline

# Source Category

Source	Flare - Digester Gas or Landfill Gas from	Revision:	1
	Non-Hazardous Waste landfill	Document #	80.1
Class:	All	Date:	12/16/91

## Determination

POLLUTANT	BACT 1. Technologically Feasible/ Cost Effective 2. Achieved in Practice	TYPICAL TECHNOLOGY
POC	<ol> <li>n/d</li> <li>Ground level, enclosed,</li> <li>0.6 sec. retention time at</li> <li>≥1400°F, auto combustion air control, automatic shoutoff gas valve and automatic re-start system<sup>b</sup></li> </ol>	1. n/d 2. BAAQMD Approved Design and Operation <sup>b</sup>
Nox	<ol> <li>≤0.06 lb/MMBtu</li> <li>0.06 lb/MMBtu</li> </ol>	1. <i>n/s</i> 2. <i>n/s</i>
802	<ol> <li>Scrubbing and/or carbon adsorption for hydrogen sulfide removal<sup>C</sup></li> <li>n/d</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>BAAQMD Approved Design and Operation<sup>b</sup></li> <li>n/d</li> </ol>
CO	<ol> <li>n/d</li> <li>Same as for POC above <sup>b</sup></li> </ol>	<ol> <li>n/a</li> <li>BAAQMD Approved Design and Operation<sup>b</sup></li> </ol>
PM10	1. n/s 2. n/s	Fuel Gas Filter     Knockout Vessel
NPOC	1. n/a 2. n/a	1. n/a 2. n/a

# References

b. BAAQMD				
c. CARB/CAP	COA Clearinghou	se		

# Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 1.4.3\*

Last Update: 1/8/2001

# **Landfill Gas Vapor Collection System**

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or contained in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
NOx	0.06 lb-NOx/MMBtu	0.05 lb/MMBtu	
PM10	Air assist fan	Steam injection	
SOx		Wet Scrubber with 98% control efficiency	
voc	Flare with a control efficiency of (= or >) 98% or a controlled VOC (measured as methane) of (= or <) 20 ppmv @ 3% O2		

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in s a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

<sup>\*</sup>This is a Summary Page for this Class of Source - Permit Specific BACT Determinations on Next Page(s)

# Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 1.4.3 A

Emissions Unit: Landfill Gas Vapor

Collection System

Equipment Rating: 24 MMBtu/hr Enclosed Flare

System

Facility: Geer Road Landfill

References: ATC #: N-3104-2-0

Project #: N-950062

Location: Modesto, CA Date of Determination: 10/1/1995

Location: IVIOO	Date of Determination: 10/1/1995		
Pollutant	BACT Requirements		
со	BACT NOT TRIGGERED		
NOx	NOx Emission Concentration Of 0.05lb/MMBtu		
PM10	Air assist fan with a PM10 Emission Concentration of 0.1 lb/MMBtu		
SOx	SOx Emission Concentration Of 0.04 lb/MMBtu		
VOC	BACT NOT TRIGGERED		
BACT Status:	☐ Small Emitter		
	☐ T-BACT		
	☐ Achieved in Practice		
	✓ Technologically feasible BACT		
	At the time of this determination achieved in practice BACT was equivalent to technologically feasible BACT		
	☐ Contained in EPA approved SIP		
	The following technologically feasible options were not cost effective:  SOx Scrubber		
	☐ Alternate Basic Equipment		
	☐ The following alternate basic equipment was not cost effective:		

# Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 1.4.3 B

Emissions Unit: Landfill Gas Vapor Collection System

Equipment Rating: 5.0 MMBtu/hr Flareed Flare

Facility: San Joaquin County -Corral Hollow Landfill

References: ATC #: N-4363-1-0 Project #: N-1000298

Location: Tracv. CA

Date of Determination: 1/8/2001

Location: Trac	Date of Determination: 1/8/2001		
Pollutant	BACT Requirements		
CO	BACT NOT TRIGGERED		
NOx	BACT NOT TRIGGERED		
PM10	BACT NOT TRIGGERED		
SOx	BACT NOT TRIGGERED		
VOC	Flare with a control efficiency of (= or >) 98% or a controlled VOC (measured as methane) emission concentration of (= or <) 20 ppmv @ 3% O2.		
BACT Status:	✓ Small Emitter		
	☐ T-BACT		
	✓ Achieved in Practice		
	☐ Technologically feasible BACT		
	At the time of this determination achieved in practice BACT was equivalent to technologically feasible BACT		
	☐ Contained in EPA approved SIP		
	$\square$ The following technologically feasible options were not cost effective:		
	☐ Alternate Basic Equipment		
	☐ The following alternate basic equipment was not cost effective:		

# Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 1.4.4\*

Last Update: 5/16/2006

### **Digester Gas-Fired Flare**

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or contained in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
со	operating in accordance with the manufacturers' specifications in order to minimize CO emissions		
NOx	enclosed flare and NOx emissions <= 0.06 lb/MMBtu	Ultra Low-NOx flare with NOx emissions <= 0.03 lb/MMBtu	
PM10	smokeless combustion and a LPG or natural gas fired pilot		
SOx	LPG or natural gas fired pilot	<ol> <li>Dry absorption of H2S from the fuel gas</li> <li>Wet absorption of H2S from the fuel gas</li> <li>Influent fuel H2S reduction by addition of chemicals to the digester gas sludge</li> <li>Water scrubbing of H2S from the fuel gas</li> </ol>	
VOC	enclosed flare and VOC emissions =< 0.068 lb/MMBtu		

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in s a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

<sup>\*</sup>This is a Summary Page for this Class of Source - Permit Specific BACT Determinations on Next Page(s)

### Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 1.4.4 A

Emissions Unit: Digester Gas Flare fired pilot Equipment Rating: 36 MMBtu/hr Facility: City of Stockton Municipal References: ATC #:N-811-18-0 Utilities Department (Regional Project #: 950303 Waste Water Control Facility) 12/20/1995 Location: Date of Determination: **Pollutant BACT Requirements** CO CO emission concentration of 1.57 lb/MMBtu NOx NOx emission concentration of 0.06 lb/MMBtu PM10 emission concentration of 0.02 lb/MMBtu, smokeless combustion and a PM10 natural gas or LPG fired pilot SOx Natural gas or LPG fired pilot VOC VOC emission concentration of 0.07 lb/MMBtu **BACT Status:** Small Emitter T-BACT ✓ Achieved in Practice Smokeless combustion and a LPG or natural gas fired pilot ▼ Technologically feasible BACT At the time of this determination achieved in practice BACT was equivalent to technologically feasible BACT ☐ Contained in EPA approved SIP The following technologically feasible options were not cost effective: 1. Dry scrubber for SOx control 2. Wet caustic scrubber for SOx control 3. Chemical addition to the digester sludge for H2S reduction (SOx control) 4. Water Scrubber for SOx control

☐ The following alternate basic equipment was not cost effective:

Alternate Basic Equipment

# Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 1.4.4 B

Emissions Unit: Digester Gas-Fired Flare Equipment Rating: 140 ft^3/min

Facility: City of Turlock Water Control References: ATC # N-3669-6-0; project # N-

Location: Turlock, CA		1053183
		Date of Determination: 5/16/2006
Pollutant	BACT Requirements	
СО	BACT NOT TRIGGERED	
NOx	enclosed flare, VOC emission concentration of 0.068 lb/MMBtu	
PM10	BACT NOT TRIGGERED	
SOx	natural gas-fired pilot	
voc	enclosed flare, NOx emission concentration of 0.0	6 lb/MMBtu
BACT Status:	☐ Small Emitter	
	☐ T-BACT	
	Achieved in Practice	
	Technologically feasible BACT	
	At the time of this determination achieve technologically feasible BACT	ed in practice BACT was equivalent to
	$\ \square$ Contained in EPA approved SIP	
	$\Box$ The following technologically feasible op-	otions were not cost effective:
	☐ Alternate Basic Equipment	
	☐ The following alternate basic equipment	was not cost effective: